blended to gasoline at the refinery level except for local use in areas where ethanol blends are common. The labelling requirement now provides purchasers of ethanol blends with the information necessary to manage their own compliance with the volatility regulations and to defend themselves from Agency actions on violations which they did not cause.

Burden Statement: The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .3 hours per response, (assuming that half of the respondents will have no burden because of the use of automated equipment), including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and labelling the invoices.

Respondents: Entities that produce or handle ethanol blends.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 8,792

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 1,319

Frequency of Collection: No reporting requirement. Inspections as needed. Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing burden, to: Sandy Farmer, ICR Number 1367.04,

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regulatory Information Division (2136), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460 and

Chris Wolz, OMB #2060–0178, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Dated: June 13, 1995.

### Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 95–15014 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

## [FRL-5224-3]

### Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the

information collection and its expected cost and burden.

**DATE:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 20, 1995.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information, or to obtain a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, please refer to EPA ICR #1754.01.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Office of Research and Development

Title: Opinion Survey of New York State Community Leaders and Residents Related to Environmental Quality In and Around Lake Ontario (EPA ICR No. 1754.01).

*Abstract:* The purpose of this survey to gather opinions from New York residents and leaders to identify motivations, intentions, behaviors, and barriers to stewardship of Lake Ontario. The Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, as amended in 1987, sets forth the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement, an agreement that requires the development of ecosystem objectives and action plans to improve water quality in the Great Lakes. In 1989, a binational (Canada/US) workgroup was established with the task of developing ecosystem objectives and indicators for Lake Ontario. The workgroup determined that a survey was needed to assess the motivations, behaviors and attitudes of residents along Lake Ontario, in order to develop meaningful plans to achieve the ecosystems objectives set forth by the 1987 Agreement. The survey has already been completed on the Canadian side of the Lake Ontario Basin.

This voluntary survey consists of a mail questionnaire distributed to a random sampling of New York State residents and a selected sample of their leaders along the Lake Ontario Basin. The questionnaire will request respondents to answer questions that assess: (1) Stewardship behavior, (2) motivations with regard to ecosystem protection, (3) commitment to environmentally responsible actions, and (4) barriers to behaviors that are supportive of ecosystem objectives.

The information will be used by government and private sectors to better understand the extent of environmental stewardship in the Great Lakes Basin, and to develop plans and strategies to better accomplish the objectives of environmental stewardship.

Burden Statement: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average (0.5) hours per response including reviewing instructions, searching existing information sources, completing and reviewing the collection of information, and submitting the information to EPA.

Respondents: Residents of New York State and New York State leaders living along the shores of Lake Ontario.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 500. Frequency of Collection: One time. Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 1.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 250 hours.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate, or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, (please refer to EPA ICR #1754.01):

Sandy Farmer, EPA #1754.01, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Information Policy Branch (2316), 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Timothy Hunt, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 13, 1995.

### Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 95–15019 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

# FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

# Information Collection Submitted to OMB for Review

**AGENCY:** Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection submitted to OMB for review and approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980.

summary: In accordance with requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the FDIC hereby gives notice that it has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for OMB review of the information collection system described below.

Type of Review: Extension of the expiration date of a currently approved collection without any change in the substance or method of collection.

*Title:* Notice of Branch Closure. *Form Number:* None.

OMB Number: 3064–0109. Expiration Date of OMB Clearance: July 31, 1995.

Respondents: Insured state non-member

Frequency of Response: On occasion.

Number of Respondents: 200. Number of Responses Per Respondent:

Total Annual Responses: 200. Average Number of Hours Per Response: 3.5.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 700. OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf, (202) 395–7340, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project 3064–0109, Washington, DC 20503.

FDIC Contact: Steven F. Hanft, (202) 898–3907, Office of the Executive Secretary, Room F–400, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 550 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20429.

Comments: Comments on this collection of information are welcome and should be submitted before August 21, 1995.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the submission may be obtained by calling or writing the FDIC contact listed above.

Comments regarding the submission should be addressed to both the OMB reviewer and the FDIC contact listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A joint policy statement issued by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Reserve System, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, requires insured depository institutions to adopt policies for closing branches. In addition, institutions must submit notice of proposed closings to their primary Federal regulator. For insured state non-member banks, the primary federal regulator is the FDIC.

Dated: June 15, 1995.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

### Robert E. Feldman,

Acting Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 95–15044 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6714–01–M

### FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

### Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC of the Federal Maritime Commission, 800 North Capitol Street NW., 9th Floor. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the **Federal Register** in which this notice appears. The requirements for

comments are found in § 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 203–011223–010. Title: Transpacific Stabilization Agreement.

Parties:

A.P. Moller-Maersk Line
American President Lines
Evergreen Marine Corp. (Taiwan) Ltd.
Hapag-Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft
Hyundai Merchant Marine Co., Ltd.
Mitsui O.S.K. Lines, Ltd.
Neptune Orient Lines, Ltd.
Nippon Yusen Kaisha
Orient Overseas Container Line, Inc.
Sea-Land Service, Inc.
Yangming Marine Transport Corp.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment adds Nedlloyd Lijnen B.V. ("Nedlloyd") as a party to the Agreement; however, Nedlloyd's participation in the Capacity Management Program ("Program") under the Agreement will not commence until September 3, 1995, the beginning of the next quarterly accounting period under that Program.

Agreement No.: 203-011503.

Title: Hapag-Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft, Nippon Yusen Kaisha, Neptune Orient Lines, Ltd. and P&O Containers Limited Far East/U.S. Pacific and Atlantic Coasts/North Europe Discussion Agreement.

Parties:

Hapag-Lloyd Aktiengesellschaft Nippon Yusen Kaisha Neptune Orient Lines, Ltd. P&O Containers Limited.

Synopsis: The proposed Agreement authorizes the parties to meet, discuss, exchange information and reach consensus in contemplation of formulating and filing a unified rationalization and sailing arrangement in the trade between ports and points in the Far East, U.S. Pacific (including Alaska) and Atlantic Coasts and North Europe ports and points. Adherence to any agreement reached is voluntary.

Agreement No.: 224–200087–008. Title: Port of Oakland/Maersk Pacific Ltd. Terminal Agreement.

Parties:

Port of Oakland Maersk Pacific Ltd. ("Maersk")

Synopsis: The proposed amendment deletes 5,254 square feet of office space previously occupied by the U.S. Customs Service on Maersk's assigned premises and restates the monthly rental taking into account the deletion of said office space.

Agreement No.: 224-200133-003.

Title:

Port Authority of New York & New Jersey/Sea-Land Service, Inc. Terminal Agreement

Parties: Port Authority of New York & New Jersey Sea-Land Service, Inc. ("Sea-Land")

Synopsis: The proposed amendment provides for a 10.6 acre expansion of Sea-Land's Elizabeth, New Jersey Container Terminal.

Dated: June 14, 1995.

By order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

### Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–14951 Filed 6–19–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

#### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

# Marine Midland Bank, Inc.; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a)(2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2) or (f) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources. decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party